UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
10/605,560	10/08/2003	Tun-Hsing Liu	MTKP0041USA	2559
	7590 05/22/200 RICA INTELLECTUA	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 506		TECKLU, ISAAC TUKU		
MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2192		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/22/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

winstonhsu.uspto@gmail.com Patent.admin.uspto.Rcv@naipo.com mis.ap.uspto@naipo.com.tw

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/605,560	LIU ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ISAAC T. TECKLU	2192		

	ISAAC T. TECKLU	2192	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	liones with 27 CED 44 27 movet be 4	iladithin tua manth	f thl-to -f
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since a
AMENDMENTS		حط لحصوفين مطاهم بالأنين	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, it (a) They raise new issues that would require further condition to the first the issue of new matter (see NOTE belometer). They are not deemed to place the application in bet 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-34.		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Tuan Q. Dam/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2192			

Continuation of 13. Other: In the Remark, the Applicant argues:

"Kato neither teaches nor suggests comparing firmware to be downloaded with current firmware, and replacing a first compared firmware with a second compared firmware (pages 3 and 4).

Examiner Responses:

Examiner would like to direct the Applicant to Fig. 4 of Kato's invention. Fig. 4 illustrates firmware exchange tables. It shows examples of tables having information on firmware compatibility. The right side in each drawing of FIG. 4 shows the corresponding download in each table. Kato compares peripheral device A version 2 (firmware to be downloaded equivalent to first program, emphasis added) with peripheral device A version 1 (current version to be replaced equivalent to second program, emphasis added), then downloads version 2 (see at least Fig. 4, right side 2,). Accordingly, Applicant's arguments are not persuasive.